



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,972 02/05/2004		Harry S. Edelman	I69.12-0619	5211	
164	7590	10/31/2006		EXAMINER	
KINNEY &		•	TUPPER, ROBERT S		
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING 312 SOUTH THIRD STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		55415-1002	2627	•	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Comments		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/772,972	EDELMAN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Robert S. Tupper	2627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE						
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Oc	ctober 2006.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,8 and 24-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>11-23</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1,2,9 and 10 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
	ion Papers							
	•	_						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of 10111 F 10-132.					
_	•							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the confidence not received.								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A44								
Attachmen			(DTO 440)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)								

Application/Control Number: 10/772,972

Art Unit: 2627

1. Claims 7, 8, and 24-27 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 6/19/06.

Page 2

- 2. Note that previously withdrawn claims 15 and 16 have been allowed in view of the allowance of the independent claim they depend from. Note also that presently withdrawn claims 7 and 8 would also be allowed if (1) claim 7 was made dependent on claim 4, and (2) claim 4 was rewritten in independent form.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JURISCH et al (4,972,286).

Note figure 1. JURISCH et al shows a write head (10) on an electrically conductive substrate (12), where the write head has a core (14) which is electrically connected to the substrate by an electrical connector (40) to provide a ground.

JURISCH et al differs in not utilizing separate read and write heads.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,972

Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the head of JURISCH et al with separate, electrically isolated, read and write heads. The motivation is as follows: the Examiner takes Official Notice that utilizing separate, electrically isolated, read and write heads in place of a single R/W head is old and well known. This allows each head to be optimized for its specific function. One of ordinary skill in the art would provide such separate heads to improve the transducing performance.

- 5. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/13/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Official Notice in the first office Action did not specify that known separate read and write heads were electrically isolated, and further refers to the OKUMURA patent as only showing a head structure in which the read and write heads share a pole and thus are not electrically isolated.

The Examiner did not refer to the OKUMURA patent in the previous 103 rejection.

Art Unit: 2627

Electrically isolated separate read and write heads are extremely old and well known. Since claim 3 recited that the read heads was electrically isolated from the write head the Official Notice included that feature.

Clearly the teaching of grounding the head core in JURISCH et al is not limited to read heads.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S. Tupper whose telephone number is 571-272-7581. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM (first Fri off).

Art Unit: 2627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

rst